1	William R. Seligmann SBN 108129	
2	bill@southbaylaw.com Law Offices of William R. Seligmann	
3	Atchison, Barisone, Condotti & Kovacevich, Mailing Address: PO Box 481	Of Counsel
4	Santa Cruz, California 95061	
5	Physical Address: 333 Church Street, Suite A	
6	Santa Cruz, California 95060 (831) 423-8383	
7	Fax (831)438-0104	
8	Richard C. Bolanos, Bar No. 111343 rbolanos@lcwlegal.com	
9	Gurinder S. Grewal, Bar No. 277975 ggrewal@lcwlegal.com LIEBERT CASSIDY WHITMORE	
10	A Professional Law Corporation	
11	153 Townsend Street, Suite 520 San Francisco, CA 94107	
12	Telephone: (415) 512-3000	
13	Facsimile: (415) 856-0306	
14	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF CAMPBELL, DANIEL RICH, GREG FINCH, DAN LIVINGSTON, and	
15	RICHARD SHIPMAN	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT	
17	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
18	SANJO	DSE DIVISION
19	SAMMY CUEVAS,	Case No. CV12-03087 EJD
20	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY CASE
21	v.	[Civil L.R. 6-2, 7-1(a)(5), 7-12]
22	CITY OF CAMPBELL; DANIEL RICH in his official capacity as City Manager;	Courtroom: 4
23	GREG FINCH in his official capacity as Chief of Police; DAN LIVINGSTON	Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila
24	individually and in his official capacity as a Sergeant of Campbell Police; RICHARD	
.25	SHIPMAN individually and in his official capacity as a Sergeant of Campbell Police;	
26	and DOES 1-25, inclusive,	
27	Defendants.	
28		

STIPULATION

In furtherance of judicial economy and to avoid potentially unnecessary expense, the undersigned counsel for and on behalf of the parties named below wish to stay all proceedings in this case ("Civil Action") pending a final outcome in Plaintiff's Writ of Administrative Mandamus ("Writ"), filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-12-CV-220122. Accordingly, Plaintiff SAMMY CUEVAS ("Plaintiff") and Defendants CITY OF CAMPBELL, DANIEL RICH, GREG FINCH, DAN LIVINGSTON, and RICHARD SHIPMAN ("Defendants") by and through their respective counsels (collectively referred to as "the parties") hereby STIPULATE and AGREE as follows:

WHEREAS Plaintiff filed a petition in Santa Clara County Superior Court to obtain a Writ of Administrative Mandamus on March 6, 2012;

WHEREAS on May 9, 2012 Plaintiff filed this separate Civil Action in Santa Clara County Superior Court alleging 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 violations and Breach of Contract;

WHEREAS many of the same underlying facts and legal issues are presented in the Writ and the Civil Action;

WHEREAS Defendants removed the Civil Action to this Court under federal question jurisdiction;

WHEREAS Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint in the Civil Action on October 30, 2012;

WHEREAS Defendants filed a Motion to Stay or in the alternative Motion to Dismiss the Civil Action on November 13, 2012;

WHEREAS any Opposition by Plaintiff to the Motion to Stay and Motion to Dismiss are due on or about November 27, 2012;

WHEREAS any Reply by Defendants to any Opposition by Plaintiff to the Motion to Stay and Motion to Dismiss would be due on or about December 4, 2012;

WHEREAS the hearing on the Motion to Stay and Motion to Dismiss is set for February 15, 2013;

WHEREAS the parties acknowledge that the earliest date a decision can become final in

the Writ is when the sixty day window to appeal the Superior Court decision on the Writ has expired, on or about January 6, 2013 pursuant to Cal. Rules of Ct. 8.104;

WHEREAS the parties also acknowledge that a final decision can come much later than January 6, 2013 should Plaintiff appeal the Superior Court decision on the Writ to the California Court of Appeal and on to the California Supreme Court;

WHEREAS the parties wish to stay all proceedings in this matter at the present time, including hearings, briefings, appearance, and any other deadlines imposed by law or the Court, pending a final decision being entered in the related Writ, which is economically and judicially efficient;

WHEREAS the parties further stipulate and agree that the extension requested herein is not requested for the purposes of delay and will not result in any prejudice to the parties or to the Court;

IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED by Plaintiff and Defendants, by and through their respective counsel, and the Court is respectfully requested to order that:

- 1. This action is hereby stayed until such a date as a final decision on the Writ is entered;
- 2. The deadline for Plaintiff to file his Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss is extended to two (2) calendar weeks after the date on which a final decision is entered on the Writ and pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(a);
- 3. The deadline for Defendants to file their Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition shall be pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(c);
- 4. The current hearing on the Motion to Dismiss shall be vacated, and new date shall be requested by Defendants when a final decision is entered on the Writ.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

STIPULATION TO STAY CASE

LIEBERT CASSIDY WHITMORE A Professional Law Corporation 153 Townsend Street, Suite 520 San Francisco, CA 94107 .19

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing, I hereby Order that:

- 1. This action is hereby stayed until such a date as a final decision is entered on the Writ of Administrative Mandamus, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-12-CV-220122; save for the filing of the statement ordered herein;
- 2. Defendants' Motion to Stay (Docket Item No. 27) is TERMINATED AS MOOT. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Docket Item No. 29) is TERMINATED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to being re-noticed and re-filed if necessary upon expiration of the stay.
- 3. On or before January 11, 2013, the parties shall file a Joint Status Statement which provides, inter alia, an update as to the status of the state court proceeding and addresses the continuing need for a stay of this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 27, 2012

EQU JUL

The Honorable Edward J. Davila United States District Court Judge